

Fear and Anger: Mastering the Hidden Enemy

105 Min. - Telecourse DVD (New) June 2005 disorder (PTSD), depression, and critical incident management. The program goal is to ensure officer and public safety, exemplify model professional behavior, teach techniques to control and modify emotional reactions, and to enhance officers' control of situations-- from minor infractions to major crime fighting.

This program focuses on dealing with fear, anger, stress, post-traumatic stress

The Telecourse DVD offers three viewing options:

- 1. Play Telecourse in its entirety (105 min.)
- 2. Select among seven Telecourse segments:
- · Introduction (19 min.)
- Stress Factors (12 min.)
- · Handling Anger (13 min.)
- Real Life Heroes (17 min.)
- · Dealing With Fear (4 min.)
- Understanding PTSD (24 min.)
- Adapting to Change (8 min.)
- 3. Select among six Interaction segments:
- The Finger Flinger (2 min.)
- · Anger and Frustration (3 min.)
- · Tale of Two Families (3 min.)
- Sgt. Marcus Young Incident (17 min.)
- · Rush to Judgment (4 min.)
- Persistent Pursuit (6 min.)

POST Course Control Number: xxxx-30001-xx033*

Telecourse Module Number: 05--06
DVD Shipping Date: 06/30/05
Reference Guide: None

* Specific to DVD only. The first four digits of the Course Control Number (xxxx) is the preassigned Telecourse Presenter Number specific to your agency; the tenth and eleventh digits (xx) are the fiscal year in which your agency presents this program. See website for detailed instructions.





50 Minutes - Quarterly Edition DVD April 2005

Case Law Today programs are released quarterly on a single DVD containing up to three months (18 total segments). Case Law Today programs are not accompanied by reference guides nor eligible for Continuing Professional Training (CPT) credit.

Search & Seizure: Expectation of Privacy in Room Obtained by Fraud with Daniel McNerney, Superior Court Judge, State of California Persons have a reasonable expectation of privacy in their hotel room. However, if the room is obtained by fraud, that expectation is no longer reasonable. Cases cited: <u>U.S. v. Cunag- (2004) 12422</u>; <u>Rakas v. Illinois (1978) 439 U.S. 128</u>. (8:46)

Seizing Incriminating Evidence During Frisk

with Jeff Rubin, Alameda County District Attorney's Office
Under the "plain-feel" doctrine, an officer may seize an item during a frisk
that the officer immediately recognizes as being of an incriminating character
—even if the item is not a weapon or contraband. However, there must be
probable cause to believe the item is evidence of a crime at the moment of
the search. Cases cited: <u>In re Lennies H.</u> (2005) 25 Cal.Rptr.3d 13;
Minnesota v. Dickerson (1993) 508 U.S. 366. (11:00)

CVC §2800-2(a): Felony Evasion

with William Bedsworth, Justice of the Court of Appeal, State of California California Vehicle Code §2800-2(a) criminalizes evasion of a pursuing police officer with "willful or wanton disregard for the safety of persons or property." Section (b) of that statute provides that proof of three or more "one-point" violations constitutes willful or wanton disregard for safety. Justice Bedsworth examines the statute in the light of <u>Diaz</u>, which sets out an interesting limitation or what constitutes a one-point violation for purposes of this law. Case cited: People v. Diaz (2005 DJDAR 1033)(January 28, 2005). (6:35)

The Official Channels/Collective Information Rule

with Jeff Rubin, Alameda County District Attorney's Office
Officers may reasonably rely on information received from other members of
law enforcement in making detentions, arrests, and searches. However, this
does not mean officers may justify actions based on information known to
other members of law enforcement which have not been communicated. This
video explores the difference between these two concepts. (4:58)

Knock-Notice: Do You Have to "Knock"?

with Daniel McNerney, Superior Court Judge, State of California
The four key elements to the "Knock-notice" rule are: 1) Announce your
presence, 2) Advise occupants of your purpose, 3) Request/demand entry,
and 4) Give occupants sufficient time to respond before forcing entry. Cases
cited: U.S. v. Combs (2005) DAR 349; U.S. v. Spikes (1998) 158 F3d 925.
(8:05)

Detaining and Questioning Occupants While Executing Warrant

with Jeff Rubin, Alameda County District Attorney's Office
Police serving a warrant for deadly weapons and evidence of gang
membership may detain occupants in handcuffs; no additional reasonable
suspicion the occupants are dangerous or are involved in criminal activity is
required. The occupants may be asked questions about their identity,
including their immigration status, as a matter of course. Cases cited:

Muehler v. Mena (2005) DJDAR 3332; Michigan v. Summer (1981) 452 U.S.
692. (9:51)





55 Minutes - Quarterly Edition DVD May 2005

Case Law Today programs are released quarterly on a single DVD containing up to three months (18 total segments). Case Law Today programs are not accompanied by reference guides nor eligible for Continuing Professional Training (CPT) credit.

Automobile Repossession: Your Job?

with William Bedsworth, Justice of the Court of Appeal, State of California
The case of Meyers v. Rovetta describes the kind of ugly mess police are
called upon to sort out every day. It's a 3 a.m. auto repossession that turns
into a free-for-all between the repo-man, the new mother who owns the car
and the elderly mother of the car owner. Everybody gets scratched, bruised,
and angry and then the cops are called to sort it all out. Justice Bedsworth
explains what police can and cannot do in an automobile repossession
situation. Case cited: Meyers v. Rovetta (2005 Daily Journal DAR 2923).

(9:12)

Exigent Circumstances Searches Where Child Missing with Jeff Rubin, Alameda County District Attorney's Office

This video discusses the factors that went into upholding various searches conducted by the police of a suspected child killer's apartment and car under the exigent circumstances exception. Entries based on implied and express consent are also discussed. *Case cited*: <u>People v. Panah</u> (2005) 35 Cal.4th 395. (16:45)

Detention: Does Transporting to New Location Constitute An Arrest?

with Daniel McNerney, Superior Court Judge, State of California
Officers may transport a detainee to a different location when reasonably
necessary to complete the purpose of the detention without elevating the
contact to an arrest. Cases cited: In re Carlos M. (1990) 220 CA3 372; Florida
v. Royer (1983) 460 U.S. 491; Gallegos v. City of Los Angeles (2002) 308 F3d
987; U.S. v. Charley (2005) DAR 1455. (6:50)

Implied Miranda Waivers and Spontaneous Statements

with Jeff Rubin, Alameda County District Attorney's Office
Although it is better to get an express waiver of Miranda rights, a valid waiver
may be implied where the suspect freely speaks with the officers after being
advised of his rights. Spontaneous statements made by a suspect in
response to police actions "normally attendant to arrest and custody," such as
having the suspect identified, are admissible even absent a Miranda warning.
Case cited: United States v. Younger (9th Cir. 2005) 398 F.3d 1179. (7:35)

Search of Clothing in a Public Place

with William Bedsworth, Justice of the Court of Appeal, State of California People v. Juan involves an unusual issue: Can an officer search clothing left in a public place? This is not the type of thing that comes up a lot, but it came up in Orange County just a few weeks ago, and Justice Bedsworth discusses that case and People v. Juan in explaining that the answer is, "Yes, you can search clothing left behind in a public place." Case cited: People v. Juan (1985) 175 Cal. App. 3d 1065. (6:31)

Overzealous Seizure of Indicia Can Be Unconstitutional

with Jeff Rubin, Alameda County District Attorney's Office
Seizing motorcycles, a mailbox, a refrigerator door and a cement portion of a driveway (necessitating significant property destruction) as indicia of gang membership was an unreasonable seizure where numerous other items of indicia had been seized and the evidence would only be used to support a gang enhancement —even though the warrant allowed for the seizure of "any" evidence of gang membership. Case cited: San Jose Charter of the Hells Angels Motorcycle Club v. City of San Jose (2005) 402 F.3d 962. (9:26)





50 Minutes - Quarterly Edition DVD June 2005

Case Law Today programs are released quarterly on a single DVD containing up to three months (18 total segments). Case Law Today programs are not accompanied by reference guides nor eligible for Continuing Professional Training (CPT) credit.

Miranda: Re-Advisement After Break in Interrogation with Daniel McNerney, Superior Court Judge, State of California Re-advisement of Miranda rights are generally not required when interrogation is renewed after break or recess. Cases cited: Guam v. Dela Pena (1995) 72 F3d 767; U.S. v. Andraverde (1995) 64 F3d 1305; Puplampu v. U.S. (1970) 442 F2d 870; U.S. v. Rodriquez (2005) DAR 2624. (6:48)

Killing Dogs During Service of Warrant

with Jeff Rubin, Alameda County District Attorney's Office
Officers violated the Fourth Amendment by killing homeowners' dogs during
the service of a warrant where the officers knew dogs would be present long
before service of the warrant and inadequate plans were made for dealing
with the dogs in advance. Case cited: San Jose Charter of the Hells Angels
Motorcycle Club v. City of San Jose (2005) 402 F.3d 962. (11:38)

Search and Seizure: Expectation of Privacy in Property By Fraud or Theft with Daniel McNerney, Superior Court Judge, State of California Although criminals may harbor an expectation of privacy in property obtained by theft or fraud, such expectation is not "reasonable." Cases cited: Smith v. Maryland (1979) 442 U.S. 735; Rakas v. Illinois (1978) 439 U.S. 128; U.S. v. Cunag (2004) 386 F3d 888; U.S. v. Caymen (2005) 404 F3d 1196. (6:40)

Warrantless Searches of Commercial Businesses

with Jeff Rubin, Alameda County District Attorney's Office
Although searches of commercial businesses generally require a warrant,
there are two exceptions: the "open to the public" exception and the "closely
regulated industry" exception (aka the "administrative search" exception).
This video focuses on a recent case involving a search done pursuant to
Vehicle Code section 2805 in order to illustrate the differences between these
exceptions. Cases/Statutes cited: People v. Potter (2005) 128 Cal.App.4th
611; People v. Roman (1991) 227 Cal.App.3d 674; People v. Calvert (1993)
18 Cal.App.4th 1820; Veh. Code, § 2805. (8:58)

Miranda: Unambiguous Invocation

with Daniel McNerney, Superior Court Judge, State of California
A suspect who invokes his/her Miranda right to silence or counsel must do so in a "clear and unambiguous" manner. Cases cited: U.S. v. Davis (1994) 512
U.S. 452; People v. Wash (1993) 6 CA4 215; In re Joe R. (1980) 27 C3d
1213; People v. Stitley (2005) 35 C4th 514; People v. Turnage (1975) 45 CA 3d 237. (8:52)

Emergency Entry to Investigate Domestic Violence

with Jeff Rubin, Alameda County District Attorney's Office
Officers may enter a home under the "emergency exception" where they
have reasonable grounds to believe an emergency is at hand, their
immediate help is needed to protect life or property, the officers' intent is
primarily motivated by the emergency, and there is probable cause to believe
the place entered is associated with the emergency. The exception was held
to apply where police responded to an interrupted 911 call regarding an "outof-control male" at a home where domestic violence had previously occurred,
a female was outside crying but not injured, and somebody was yelling inside
the house. Case cited: United States v. Martinez (9th Cir. 2005) DJDAR 5611.
(6:53)



More Information

Distribution and Ordering

DVDs are automatically direct-mailed to all POST-Certified Telecourse Presenters and Basic Academies in the California POST Program. DVD programs cannot be duplicated. Multiple copies of CPTN materials are available via automated direct-mail to eligible agencies with multiple training sites. See POST Bulletins #03-03 and #03-13 at www.post.ca.gov/bulletin/bulletins.asp for more information about DVD distribution/eligibility.

Visit the CPTN website at www.post.ca.gov/training/cptn for complete CPTN information, video listings, and to order videos. Other questions not answered online may be directed to the POST Training Program Services Bureau at 916. 227.3913, or send email to cptn@post.ca.gov.

Telecourse CPT Credit

This month's Telecourse(s) and most previous Telecourses are eligible for continuing professional training (CPT) credit if facilitated by a POST-Certified Telecourse Presenter. Certified Presenters of POST Advanced Officer Courses or Skills and Knowledge Modular training courses may also use the Telecourses as part of those courses. POST-Certified Telecourse Presenters seeking CPT credit for trainees must document Telecourse attendance on POST Course Roster (Form 2-111). Note that course control numbers are different for Telecourses on DVD. For detailed instructions on completion of the Telecourse Course Roster, visit www.post.ca.gov/training/cptn/credit.asp. Call the POST Training Delivery Bureau at 916.227.4863 for more information about Telecourse CPT credit.

Monthly DVD Mailing List

To determine if your agency is listed as a Certified Telecourse Presenter or POST Basic Academy and on the DVD distribution list— or to obtain more information about Telecourse certification—visit POST online at www.post.ca.gov/training/cptn/credit.asp. If your agency is not listed and you wish to register as a Certified Telecourse Presenter with POST, follow the website instructions or contact the Training Delivery Bureau at 916.227.4863.

Content Disclaimer

The opinions expressed in CPTN programs reflect the views and interpretations of the individual subject matter experts featured, and information contained in CPTN programs may not apply to your agency or training institution. Check consistency with local laws and departmental policies and procedures before using CPTN videos for training or informational purposes. Neither the Commission on POST (CPTN) nor the contributing producers of CPTN programs assume responsibility for their use.

Copyright Notice

CPTN programs are copyrighted. CPTN materials are intended for use by authorized law enforcement agencies only. Any recording, reproduction, or rebroadcast, in whole or in part, without the expressed written consent of the California Commission on Peace Officer Standards and Training, is prohibited.



Future CPTN Programs

Domestic Violence Update

July 2005 - Telecourse DVD (New)

Firearms Seizure & Disposition

July 2005 - Special Video DVD (New)

Workplace Harassment

August 2005 - Telecourse DVD (New)

Terrorism Intelligence Update

September 2005 - Telecourse DVD (New)

Terrorism: Suicide Bombers

October 2005 - Telecourse DVD (New)

Case Law Today is distributed monthly beginning July 2005. CPTN Program Guide future program information is subject to change. Visit the CPTN website for updates.